

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

WOLPE et al.

Atty. Ref.: 1331-222

OCT 1 6 2001

Appln. No. 08/832,443

Group Art Unit: 1644EOH CENTER 1600/2900

Filed: April 3, 1997

Examiner: M. Tung

THEREOF

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE

FOR: INHIBITOR AND STIMULATOR OF STEM CELL PROLIFERATION AND USES

October 12, 2001

Hon. Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

Further to the Amendment filed under 37 CFR §1.111 on October 3, 2001, entry and consideration of the following remarks are respectfully requested.

In the paragraph under the heading "Nonstatutory Double Patenting" on page 9 of the Amendment, the last sentence is incomplete. The statement should have been "Applicants traverse for the reasons discussed above with respect to Kozlov et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,022,848) and Tsyrlova et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,939,391) as applied in the Section 102(e) rejections." Thus, Applicants intended that their reasons for why the pending claims were not anticipated by the cited patents under Section 102 should be repeated as arguments against the double patenting rejection.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

Garv/R/. Tanigawa Reg. No. 43180

1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor

Arlington, VA 22201-4714 Telephone: (703) 816-4000

Facsimile: (703) 816-4100